



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/688,220	10/16/2003	Michael Joseph Carnevale	ROC920030232US1	9461
7590 Robert R. Williams IBM Corporation, Dept. 917 3605 Highway 52 North Rochester, MN 55901-7829			EXAMINER ANDREWS, LEON T	
			ART UNIT 2616	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 07/12/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/688,220	Applicant(s) CARNEVALE ET AL.	
	Examiner Leon Andrews	Art Unit 2616	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 16 October 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ✓ | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by **Haydt** (Pub. No.: US 2004/0019882 A1)

Regarding Claim 1, Haydt discloses a method (methods, paragraph [0010], page 1, line 1) comprising:

Art Unit: 2616

determining whether a number of buffers (at startup and any time all the receive buffers, paragraph [0053], page 5, line 9) allocatable to a queue pair (the appropriate index from the queue pair is added to a buffer key, paragraph [0035], page 3, lines 6-7) is greater than zero;

deciding whether a number of buffers allocated to an operation type (receive buffers all in a single operation, paragraph [0053], page 5, lines 11-12) is less than a maximum (buffer range fits within the range specified by the key table entry, paragraph [0057], page 5, lines 1-2); and

allocating a buffer (buffer, paragraph [0035], page 3, line 2) to the queue pair if the queue pair requests the buffer for an operation (operations to process increases, paragraph [0010], page 1, lines 4-5) having the operation type (data communication operations, paragraph [0010], page 1, line 3) and the determining and the deciding are true.

Regarding Claim 2, Haydt discloses the method of claim 1, further comprising:

receiving a validate request (valid entries, paragraph [0047], page 4, line 1) associated with a data transfer (data transfer, paragraph [0047], page 4, line 6) that uses the buffer.

Regarding Claim 3, Haydt discloses the method of claim 2, further comprising:

determining whether a requester (Fig. 1, user mode implementation 130) of the data transfer matches the queue pair.

Regarding Claim 4, Haydt discloses the method of claim 2, further comprising:

determining whether a type (operations to process increases, paragraph [0010], page 1, lines 4-5) of the data transfer is valid for the operation type.

Regarding Claim 5, Haydt discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the determining further comprises:

determining whether the number of buffers allocatable to the queue pair is greater than a remaining size of the operation ({receive buffers all in a single operation, paragraph [0053], page 5, lines 11-12} – {operations to process increases, paragraph [0010], page 1, lines 4-5}).

Regarding Claim 6, Haydt discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the operation type is a transmit (operations like sending {transmit} information, paragraph [0021], page 2, lines 3-4).

Regarding Claim 7, Haydt discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the operation type is a receive (operations like receiving information, paragraph [0021], page 2, lines 3-4).

Regarding Claim 8, it is the corresponding apparatus claim to method **Claims 1 and 2**.

Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons explained above.

Regarding Claim 9, it is the corresponding apparatus claim to method **Claim 3**. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons explained above.

Regarding Claim 10, it is the corresponding apparatus claim to method **Claim 4**. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons explained above.

Regarding Claim 11, it is the corresponding apparatus claim to method **Claim 5**. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons explained above.

Regarding Claim 12, it is the corresponding apparatus claim to method **Claim 6**. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons explained above.

Claim 12, the apparatus of claim 8, wherein the operation type is a transmit.

Regarding Claim 13, it is the corresponding apparatus claim to method **Claim 7**. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons explained above.

Regarding Claim 14, Haydt discloses the apparatus of claim 8, further comprising:

means for deallocating the buffer if a requester (Fig. 1, user mode implementation 130) matches the queue pair.

Regarding Claim 15, Haydt discloses an adapter (Fig. 1, 150) comprising:

a pool of a plurality of entries (valid entries, paragraph [0047], page 4, line 1); and

a controller (Fig. 2, 250) that allocates a plurality of buffers (at startup and any time all the receive buffers, paragraph [0052], page 5, line 9) from the plurality of entries in response to requests from a plurality of queue pairs (queue pairs, paragraph [0035], page 3, lines 4-5), validates the plurality of buffers for a plurality of data transfers (data transfer, paragraph [0047], page 4, line 6), and deallocates at least one of the plurality of buffers in response to a shutdown of an associated at least one of the plurality of queue pairs (each queue pair has a buffer which is queued for delivery when an event occurs and, the buffer is not returned until the driver responds to the event, paragraph [0041], page 4, lines 1-11).

Regarding Claim 16, Haydt discloses the adapter of claim 15, wherein the controller further stores status (Fig. 2, 230) in each of the plurality of entries, wherein the status comprises an indication (Fig. 3A, 332) of whether each of the respective plurality of buffers is to be used for a master or a target operation (operations to process increases, paragraph [0010], page 1, lines 4-5).

Regarding Claim 17, Haydt discloses the adapter of claim 15, wherein the controller further stores status in each of the plurality of entries, wherein the status comprises an indication (Fig. 3A, 332) of whether each of the respective plurality of buffers is to be used for a RDMA (RDMA, paragraph [0047], page 4, line 3) or a send operation.

Regarding Claim 18, Haydt discloses the adapter of claim 15, wherein the controller further stores status in each of the plurality of entries, wherein the status comprises an indication (Fig.

Art Unit: 2616

3A, 332) of whether each of the respective plurality of buffers is to be used for a read or a write (RDMA read and write, paragraph [0047], page 4, line 2) operation.

Regarding Claim 19, Haydt discloses the adapter of claim 16, wherein the controller further validates (key table index contains the information for validating the buffer, paragraph [0054], page 5, lines 9-10) the plurality of buffers based on the status.

Regarding Claim 20, Haydt discloses the adapter of claim 17, wherein the controller further validates the plurality of buffers based on the status.

Citation of Pertinent Prior Art

2. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Craddock et al. (Pub. No.: US 2003/0061417 A1) discloses infiniband work and completion queue management via head and tail circular buffers with indirect work queue entries.

Arndt et al. (Pub. No.: US 2003/0058875 A1) discloses infiniband work and completion queue management via head only circular buffers.

Neal et al. (Pub. No.: US 2003/0093627 A1) discloses open format storage subsystem apparatus and method.

Boyd et al. (Pub. No.: US 2004/0049600 A1) discloses memory management offload for RDMA enabled network adapters.

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leon Andrews whose telephone number is (571) 270-1801. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rao S. Seema can be reached on (571) 272-3174. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LA/la *LA*
June 20, 2007

Seema S. Rao
SEEMA S. RAO 7/3/07
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600